UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,312	10/03/2005	Toshio Yamamoto	19036/40258	9147
	7590 03/20/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER			BOCHNA, DAVID	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	10/532,312	YAMAMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David E. Bochna	3679			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	, 				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-21 and 29-57 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 22-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 and 25-28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2005</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 7-21 and 29-57 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected method and manufacturing apparatus, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 2/25/08.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the engagement portion provided integrally with the fixing hole must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Art Unit: 3679

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The phrase "This invention is aimed to" should be removed from the abstract.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-5 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kajino.

In regard to claim 1, Kajino discloses a cornerplate-less duct (fig. 1) which has a tubular shape with a rectangular cross-section, the duct comprising:

a plurality of plate members 1-4 joined to each other at side end portions thereof by seam joints 9-1-9-4, in which flange portions 10-1-10-4 which are connecting faces adapted to connect

Art Unit: 3679

adjacent ducts are formed integrally at a starting end portion and a terminal end portion of a plate formed into each plate member by bending the plate in a direction substantially perpendicular to a longitudinal direction of the plate, comer forming portions 27 are formed to protrude outward from side ends of the flange portions 10-1-10-4 in a width direction of the plate, and the comer forming portions 27 of adjacent plate members are overlapped with each other to form corner portions of the flange portions of the duct in an assembled state (see fig. 5);

wherein the plate 1 is structured such that a first cut portion (cut dividing 9 and 10) is formed in a portion which is closer to a center in the longitudinal direction of the plate than a starting end or a terminal end of the plate such that the first cut portion extends in the width direction of the plate and has a depth substantially equal to an overlapping portion 29 of the corner portion 27.

In regard to claim 2, wherein a dimension in a width direction of a portion of the plate which is closer to the center than the first cut portion is substantially equal to a sum of a dimension of a wall forming portion 1 of the duct and a dimension of seam forming portions 9 on both sides of the wall forming portion, and a dimension 10 in a width direction of a portion of the plate which is closer to the starting end or the terminal end than the first cut portion is substantially equal to a sum of a dimension in a width direction of a flange forming portion 10 formed into the flange portion and a protruding dimension of the corner forming portions 29 (see fig. 5).

In regard to claims 3 and 22, wherein the protruding dimension of the corner forming portions 27 is substantially equal to a width of the connecting faces of the flange portions 10, 27 of the adjacent plate members in an assembled state of the duct.

Art Unit: 3679

In regard to claims 4, 23 and 24, wherein the corner forming portions of plates are provided with fixing holes 43 at a location where the corner portions of adjacent plate members overlap with each other to allow the fixing holes 43 to conform to each other in an assembled state of the duct.

In regard to claim 5, wherein an engagement portion 44 which is bendable is provided integrally with the fixing hole 43.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6 and 25-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fischer et al., Heilman et al., Greene et al., Rauhauser, Fisher, Rowland, Deitsch, Holub, Gutermann and Murray all disclose similar couplings common in the art.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Bochna whose telephone number is (571) 272-7078. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30 Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David E. Bochna/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679